

CV, Page 3 #16

REMARKS ON PSEUDO-VALUATION RINGS

Ayman Badawi
Department of Mathematics & Computer Science
Birzeit University
P.O.Box 14
Birzeit, West Bank, Palestine, via Israel

E-mail: abring@math.birzeit.edu

ABSTRACT. A prime ideal P of a ring A is said to be a strongly prime ideal if aP and bA are comparable for all $a, b \in A$. We shall say that a ring A is a pseudo-valuation ring (PVR) if each prime ideal of A is a strongly prime ideal. We show that if A is a PVR with maximal ideal M , then every overring of A is a PVR if and only if M is a maximal ideal of every overring of A that does not contain the reciprocal of any element of M . We show that if R is an atomic domain and a PVD, then $\dim(R) \leq 1$. We show that if R is a PVD and a prime ideal of R is finitely generated, then every overring of R is a PVD. We give a characterization of an atomic PVD in terms of the concept of half-factorial domain.

1 INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity and the letter R denotes an integral domain with quotient field K . Hedstrom and Houston

[11] introduced the concept *pseudo-valuation domains* (PVD). Recall from [11] that an integral domain R , with quotient field K , is called a *pseudo-valuation domain* (PVD) in case each prime ideal P of R is *strongly prime*, in the sense that $xy \in P$, $x \in K$, $y \in K$ implies that either $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. Recently, the author, Anderson, and Dobbs [8] generalized the study of pseudo-valuation domains to the context of arbitrary rings. From [8] a prime ideal P of a ring A is said to be a *strongly prime ideal* if aP and bA are comparable for all $a, b \in A$. If A is an integral domain, this is equivalent to the definition of strongly prime ideal introduced in [11] (see [3, Prop. 3.1], [4, Prop. 4.2], and [7, Prop.3]). We shall say that a ring A is a *pseudo-valuation ring* (PVR) if each prime ideal of A is a strongly prime ideal. For additional characterization of pseudo-valuation rings see [3], [4], [6], [7], and [8].

In this paper, we show that, for a PVR A with maximal ideal M , every overring of A (inside its total quotient ring) is a PVR if and only if M is a maximal ideal of every overring of A that does not contain the reciprocal of any element of M . We show that if R is an atomic domain and a PVD, then $\dim(R) \leq 1$. We show that if R is a PVD and a prime ideal of R is finitely generated, then every overring of R is a PVD. We give a characterization of an atomic PVD in terms of the concept of half-factorial domain. Recall from Zaks [14] an atomic is called a *half-factorial domain* (HFD) if each

factorization of a nonzero nonunit element of R into a product of irreducible elements (atoms) in R has the same length. Also, we give an alternative proof of the fact [2, Theorem 6.2] that an atomic PVD is a HFD.

2. RESULTS

We start by recalling some basic facts about a PVR.

FACT 1 [8, Lemma 1]. (a). Let I be an ideal of a ring A and P be a strongly prime ideal of A . Then I and P are comparable.

(b). Any PVR is quasilocal.

Proof. (a). Suppose that I is not contained in P . Then for some $b \in I - P$ and $a = 1$, bA is not contained in $P = aP$, and so $P \subset bA \subset I$.

(b). This follows easily from (a). ■

Fact 2 [8, Theorem 2]. A quasilocal ring A with maximal ideal M is a PVR if and only if M is a strongly prime ideal.

The first part of the following result is taken from [7, Theorem 1] and the second part is a consequence of the above two Facts.

LEMMA 3. (1). If for each a, b in a ring A either $a|b$ or $b|a^2$, then the prime ideals of A are linearly ordered and therefore A is quasilocal.

(2). A ring A is a PVR if and only if it is quasilocal with its maximal ideal strongly prime.
 Proof. (1). Suppose that there are two prime ideals P, Q of A that are not comparable. Let $b \in P \setminus Q$ and $a \in Q \setminus P$. Then neither $a|b$ nor $b|a^2$, a contradiction. (2). This follows easily from Facts 1 and 2. ■

DEFINITION. Let b be an element of a ring B . Then an element d of B is called a proper divisor of b if $b = dm$ for some nonunit m of B .

In [8] ([7]) we proved that a ring A (R) is a PVR (PVD) if and only if for every $a, b \in A$ (R) either $a|b$ or $b|ac$ for each nonunit c of A (R). An analog of this result is the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4. A ring B is a PVR if and only if for every $a, b \in B$, either $a|b$ or $d|a$ for every proper divisor d of b .

Proof. Suppose that B is a PVR with the maximal ideal M . Let $a, b \in B$ and suppose that a does not divide b in B . Let d be a proper divisor of b . Then $b = dm$ for some nonunit m of B . If d does not divide a in B , then $dM \subset aB$ since M is strongly prime. Hence, $a|dm = b$, a contradiction. Thus, $d|a$ for every proper divisor d of b .

Conversely, suppose that for every $a, b \in B$ either $a|b$ or $d|a$ for every proper divisor d of b . Let $a, b \in B$ such that a does not divide b

in B . Then $b|a^2$, for otherwise by hypothesis $a|b$ which is a contradiction. Thus, by Lemma 3 (1) B is quasilocal with maximal ideal M . Now, we need show that $aM \subset bB$. Deny. Then there is a nonunit c of B such that b does not divide ac . Since a is a proper divisor of ac and b does not divide ac , by hypothesis $a|b$ which contradicts the assumption that a does not divide b in B . Hence, our denial is invalid. ■

Anderson and Mott [2, Theorem 6.2] proved that an atomic PVD R is a HFD. Now, we give a proof of this result that relies only on the definitions of a PVD and a HFD.

THEOREM 5 [2, Theorem 6.2]. An atomic PVD R is a HFD.

Proof. Deny. Let M be the maximal ideal of R . Then for some nonunit nonzero element x of R , $x = x_1x_2 \dots x_n = y_1y_2 \dots y_m$ where the x_i 's and the y_j 's are atoms of R and $m > n$. Hence, $(x_1/y_1) \dots (x_n/y_n) = y_{n+1} \dots y_m \in M$. Hence, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $x_i/y_i \in M$. Thus, $x_i = y_i m$ for some $m \in M$. A contradiction, since x_i is an atom of R and neither y_i nor m is a unit of R . Hence, our denial is invalid and R is indeed a HFD. ■

Definition. Let R be a HFD and x be a nonzero element of R . Then we define $L(x) = n$ if $x = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$ for some atoms x_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, of R . If x is a unit of R , then $L(x) = 0$.

In the following theorem, we give a characterization of an atomic PVD in terms of the concept of HFD.

THEOREM 6. Let R be an atomic domain. The following statements are equivalent :

- (1) R is a PVD.
- (2) R is a HFD and for ever $x, y \in R$, if $L(x) < L(y)$, then $x|y$ in R .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). By theorem 1 R is a HFD. Let $x, y \in R$ such that $L(x) < L(y)$. Suppose that x does not divide y in R . Then $y|xt$ for some atom t of R by [8, Prop. 3]. Hence, $xt=ym$ for some nonunit m of R (observe that if m is a unit of R , then $x|y$). But $L(xt) < L(ym)$, a contradiction, since R is a HFD. Thus, $x|y$. (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $a, b \in R$ and suppose that a does not divide b in R . Then $L(b) \leq L(a)$ by the hypothesis. Hence, $L(b) < L(ac)$ for every nonunit c of R . Thus, $b|ac$ for every nonunit c of R . Therefore, R is a PVD by [8, Prop.3]. ■

COROLLARY 7. Let R be an atomic PVD, c is an atom of R , and $x \in R$. If $L(x) = n \geq 2$, then $x = c^{(n-1)}b$ for some atom b of R .

Proof. By Theorem 3, $c^{(n-1)}|x$ since $L(c^{(n-1)}) < L(x)$. Hence, $x = c^{(n-1)}b$ for some $b \in R$. Since R is a PVD, R is a HFD. Hence, b must be an atom of R . ■

Hedstrom and Houston [11] proved that a Notherian PVD R has a Krull dimension ≤ 1 . We strengthen

this result in the next theorem. Before stating the following theorem, the following fact is needed :

FACT 8 [7, Corollary 1]. Suppose that the prime ideals of a ring A are linearly ordered and a, b are nonzero elements of A . Let P be the minimum prime ideal of A that contains a and Q be the minimum prime ideal of A that contains b . Then $P = Q$ if and only if there exist $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$ such that $a|b^n$ and $b|a^m$. ■

THEOREM 9. Let R be an atomic PVD. Then $\text{Dim}(R) \leq 1$.

Proof. Let a, b be nonzero nonunit elements of R . By the above Fact, it suffices to show that $a|b^n$ for some $n \geq 1$. Let $m = L(a)$ and $h = L(b)$. Then for some $n \geq 1$, $m < nh$, that is, $L(a) < L(b^n)$. Hence, by Theorem 6 $a|b^n$. ■

REMARK : Anderson and Mott [2, Corollary 5.2] proved that R is an atomic PVD with maximal ideal M if and only if $V = M:M = \{ x \in K : xM \subset M \}$ is a discrete valuation domain with maximal ideal M . Since V and R have the same maximal ideal, by [5, Theorem 3.10] the prime ideals of V are the prime ideals of R . Hence, $\text{Dim}(R) \leq 1$ and this is another proof of Theorem 9.

Recall that a domain R is a LT-domain (lowest terms domain) in the sense of [1], if for each

nonzero elements $a, b \in R$, there are nonzero elements c, d of R with $a/b = c/d$ and $\gcd(c, d) = 1$.

COROLLARY 10. Let R be an atomic PVD. Then R is a LT-domain.

Proof. Let a, b be nonzero elements of R . We consider three cases. *First case.* Suppose that $L(a) < L(b)$. Then $a|b$. Hence, $a/b = 1/s$ for some $s \in R$ and $\gcd(1, s) = 1$. *Second case.* Suppose that $L(a) > L(b)$. Then $a/b = s/1$ for some $s \in R$ and $\gcd(s, 1) = 1$. *Third case.* Suppose that $L(a) = L(b)$. Then $a = vh$ for some atom h of R and $v \in R$. Since $L(v) < L(b)$, $b = vd$ for some $d \in R$. Since $L(b) = L(a) = L(v) + 1$, d is an atom of R . Hence, $a/b = h/d$. Since h, d are atoms of R , $\gcd(h, d) = 1$. ■

In view of the proof of the above Theorem we have

COROLLARY 11. Let R be an atomic PVD and $x = a/b \in K$ where a, b are nonzero elements of R . Then $x = a/b$ must equal to one of the following forms :

- (1) $1/s$ for some $s \in R$.
- (2) $s/1$ for some $s \in R$.
- (3) h/d for some atoms h, d of R .

Definition. For a ring A , let $S = \{ s \in A : s \text{ is a non-zero-divisor of } A, \text{ that is, } s \text{ is regular} \}$.

Then $T = R_s$ is the total quotient ring of A .

A subring B of T is called an *overring* of A if

LEMMA 12. Let P be a strongly prime ideal of a ring A containing the zerodivisors of A and B be an overring of A . If $x = a/b \in B \setminus A$ for some a, b of A , then a is a nonzerodivisor of A and $x^{-1}P \subset P$.

Proof. Suppose that a is a nonzerodivisor of A . Then $b \in P$, for if $b \in A \setminus P$, then $P \subset (b)$ and hence $b|a$ and therefore $x \in A$, a contradiction. Since P is a strongly prime ideal, either $aA \subset bP$ or $bP \subset aA$. If $aA \subset bP$, then $b|a$ and therefore $x \in A$, a contradiction. If $bP \subset aA$, then $a|b^2$ since $b \in P$, a contradiction again, since b is a nonzerodivisor of A and a is a zerodivisor of A . Hence, a is a nonzerodivisor of A . Now, since $x = a/b \in B \setminus A$, $bP \subset aA$. Thus, $x^{-1}P \subset A$. Suppose that for some $p \in P$, $x^{-1}p = q \in A \setminus P$. Then q is a nonzerodivisor of A , since P contains the zerodivisors of A . Since P is a strongly prime ideal and $qA \not\subset P$, $p \in P \subset qA$, and therefore $x = p/q \in A$, a contradiction. Hence, $x^{-1}P \subset P$. ■

The following Theorem is an important tool for the remaining part of this paper.

THEOREM 13. Let P be a strongly prime ideal of a ring A containing the zerodivisors of A and B be an overring of A . The following statements are equivalent :

- (1) $PB \cap A = P$.

- (5) Every overring of A that does not contain the reciprocal of any element of M is a PVR.
- (6) Every overring of A that does not contain the reciprocal of any element of M is a PVR with maximal ideal M .
- (7) M is a maximal ideal of every overring C of A such that $C \subset M:M$.
- (8) M is the unique maximal ideal of every overring C of A such that $C \subset M:M$.
- (9) $A \subset C$ satisfies the INC condition for every overring C of A such that $C \subset M:M$. ■

3 EXAMPLES

EXAMPLE 1. Choose an infinite dimensional valuation ring (chained ring) V of the form $V = K + M$ where K is a field and M is the maximal ideal of V (see [10, Exercise 12, page 271]). If F is a proper subfield of K and $[K:F]$ is infinite, then $R = F + M$ is a PVD (see [11, Example 2.1]). Observe that R has infinite Krull dimension and therefore is not atomic by Theorem 9.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]_{(2, 1+\sqrt{5})}$. Then R is a Noetherian PVD and therefore atomic (see [11, Example 3.6]).

EXAMPLE 3. Let k be any field and X, Y be indeterminates. Then $R = k + Xk(Y)[[X]]$ is an atomic PVD that is not Noetherian (see the discussion in [2] following [2, Theorem 5.4]).

EXAMPLE 4. (a) Let R be a PVD. If I is an ideal of R , then R/I is a PVR by [8, Corollary 3].
 (b) Let k be any field and x, y indeterminates. Then $R = k[X, Y]/(X^2, XY, Y^2)$ is a PVR (see [8, Example 10]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very grateful to Professors David Anderson and David Dobbs for introducing me to such "nice" rings.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, D. D., Anderson, D. F., Zafrullah, M., Factorization in integral domains, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*, 69 (1990), 1-19.
- [2] Anderson, D. D., Mott, J. L., Cohen-Kaplansky domains : integral domains with finite number of irreducible elements, *J. Algebra*, Vol. 148, No.1 (1992), 17-41.
- [3] Anderson, D. F., Comparability of ideals and valuation overrings, *Houston J. Math.*, 5 (1979), 451-463.
- [4] Anderson, D.F., When the dual of an ideal is a ring, *Houston J. Math.*, 9 (1983), 325-332.
- [5] Anderson, D. F., Dobbs, D. E., Pairs of rings with the same prime ideals, *Canad. J. Math.*, 32 (1980), 362-384.

- [6] Badawi, A., A Visit to valuation and pseudo-valuation domains, Zero-dimensional commutative rings, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 171, (1995), 155-161, Marcel Dekker.
- [7] Badawi, A., On domains which have prime ideals that are linearly ordered, *Comm. Algebra*, 23 (1995), 4365-4373.
- [8] Badawi, A., Anderson, D. F., Dobbs, D. E., Pseudo-valuation rings, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Commutative Rings, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 185 (1996), 57-67, Marcel Dekker.
- [9] Dobbs, D. E., Coherence, ascent of going-down and pseudo-valuation domains, *Houston J. Math.*, 4 (1978), 551-567.
- [10] Gilmer, R., Multiplicative ideal theory, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [11] Hedstrom, J. R., Houston, E. G., Pseudo-valuation domains, *Pacific J. Math.*, 4 (1978), 199-207.
- [12] Hedstrom, J. R., Houston, E. G., Pseudo-valuation domains, II, *Houston J. Math.*, 4 (1978), 199-207.
- [13] Kaplansky, I., Commutative rings, The Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, (1974).
- [14] Zaks, A., Half-factorial domains, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 82 (1976), 721-724.

Received: May 1996

Revised: December 1997 and September 1998

ON CHAINED OVERRINGS OF PSEUDO-VALUATION RINGS

Ayman Badawi
 Department of Mathematics
 Birzeit University, Box 14
 Birzeit, WestBank, Palestine, via Israel

ABSTRACT. A prime ideal P of a commutative ring R with identity is called strongly prime if aP and bR are comparable for every a, b in R . If every prime ideal of R is strongly prime, then R is called a pseudo-valuation ring. It is well-known that a (valuation) chained overring of a Prufer domain R is of the form R_P for some prime ideal P of R . In this paper, we show that this statement is valid for a certain class of chained overrings of a pseudo-valuation ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity and if R is a ring, then $Z(R)$ denotes the set of zerodivisors of R and T denotes the total quotient ring of R . We say a ring A is an overring of a ring R if A is between R and T . Recall that a ring R is called a chained ring if the principal ideals of R are linearly ordered, that is, if for every $a, b \in R$ either $a|b$ or $b|a$. It is well-known that a chained overring of a Prufer domain R